The meeting was called to order at 12:20 in Olona 205.

The pending draft minutes from the December 2008 meeting at the Faculty Senate Website and March 2009 draft minutes were approved without correction.

**Recognition of the Honda International Center as an Academic/Instructional Support Unit**
The first order of business was a formal vote by the Senate to recognize representation of the Honda International Center (HIC) — as proposed by Joe Overton at the March 2009 meeting. It was determined that HIC meets the criteria for representation as described in the Faculty Senate Constitution as an Academic and Instructional Support Unit as described in the Faculty Senate Constitution. Ken Kiyohara will be the first HIC representative to Faculty Senate.

**Motion, Second**

**Discussion**
The HIC serves the system, but resides on the KCC campus, and, principally serves the students of KCC. The question was raised in discussion as to whether the Constitution needed to be amended to specifically name HIC, but, as Chair Davis explained: when the Constitution was written, the purpose of the general statement was to include representation of all groups, not to amend the document at each juncture. Senator Vanairsdale noted that there was no specific language in the Constitution that required “an amendment shall be written” for each update to the list of units defined as “Academic and Instructional Support.”
In the context of the discussion on academic units Jill Abbott requested clarification as to which unit she represented — Kahikoluamea or Holomua — since the establishment of Kahikoluamea.

The final consensus was that a note should be made to write-in the newly established units the next time that the Constitution would be amended.

Motion
That HIC be included for Faculty Senate representation as an Academic and Instructional Support unit, with the caveat that new units/departments be written into the Constitution the next time that it is amended.

Passed: Unanimously 15/0

Reorganization Plan
Discussion began with the Print Shop: definition and physical location, as described in the Reorganization Plan. According to Gene Phillips, the Chancellor views the Print Shop in its historical context when phone, mail, and print were housed together in IMTS. CELTT/Staff Council representative Phillips sees the Print Shop as more aligned with Academic Support, since most of his work supports instructional faculty. The real focus of the unit has never been defined. Under the Reorganization Plan, the Print Shop would likely remain in Naio, but administration and approval would come from Olopua.

As the discussion of specifics of the Reorganization Plan continued, however, senators agreed by consensus that it was the “process” to which the Senate had objected. Specific issues had been pointed out to Administration after previous discussion in the Senate and in Department meetings. Administration had fulfilled its due diligence as described in the UHPA Contract in presenting the document to Departments, Faculty Senate, and the campus at large, and posting the Reorganization Plan on the KCC Website. However, feedback from Faculty Senate and interested parties to Administration was not noticeably incorporated into subsequent versions of the document.

Faculty Motion/Vote on the 2009 Reorganization Plan
The Faculty Senate understands that the Reorganization must move forward for the purposes of Accreditation. The Faculty Senate, in the meeting of February 2, 2009, approved the Reorganization Plan. However, because of substantial concerns with the process, this approval is conditional upon the implementation of the following two recommendations:
1. 
2. 
Motion Passed: 15/0

Strategic Plan
Chair Davis: I am trying to find a more conciliatory, less accusatory style of communication with Administration so that we can resolve the issue of the Strategic Plan. One important issue is the “benchmark percentages” — with respect to increased general enrollment, enrollment of Hawaiian students, etc. KCC percentage goals had increased in the document presented at the PPAC meeting by Bob Franco. Percentages at KCC appeared to have increased from the original systemwide draft documents, and KCC had higher percentage goals than other colleges in the system. Faculty asked for clarification of the numbers, and expressed concern over the consequences if the College could not meet the goals — especially in the present climate of reduced financial and instructional resources.
The Strategic Plan appeared to have a variety of versions, and several senators reiterated concerns about KCC benchmarks 6% higher than other community colleges. Since Faculty Senate had no input about percentages, and faculty may be held responsible for these idealistic goals in a time of limited resources, the consensus of the assembled representatives was that a meeting should take place between Chancellor Richards, Bob Franco, and representatives of the Faculty Senate before a final vote in the Senate could take place.

Suggested times for this meeting were **Friday April 17th, noon, or Monday April 13th noon.**

---

**Secretary’s note:** The meeting officially took place **Monday April 20th 12:00-1:20pm.**

---

**Budget, SLOs, Shared Governance**

Chair Davis shared information about the March 24, 2009 Special Meeting scheduled by Chancellor Richards to update the Faculty Senate Executive Board on SLOs, the KCC Budget, and the Shared Governance proposal. Information about this meeting is available in the summary notes by Carol Beresiwsky published at the Faculty Senate Website: “Special Meeting March 24, 2009.” The complete SLO, Budget, and Shared Governance reports presented at the meeting are also available upon request to the secretary. In attendance were Interim Vice-Chancellor Pagotto representing Chancellor Richards, Kristine Korey-Smith presenting the update on SLOs, Milton Higa giving the KCC 2009 Budget report, and Salvatore Lanzilotti who presented the KCC Draft Shared Governance Proposal — created to comply with WASC Accreditation requirements for a formal written policy on shared governance for the College. The Faculty Senate Executive Committee was represented by Chair Harry Davis, Vice-Chair Dennis Vanairsdale, Secretary Carol Beresiwsky, At-large Representative Jodi Nakaoka. (At-large Representative Jeff Zuckernick was at a mainland professional conference.)

**Motion**

In reference to the 17 positions were officially cut in the Budget Report (most currently unfilled), Senator Kimura made the motion to ask for the list of positions identified for deletion: the description of the position, duties, departmental location, and whether the position was full-time or part-time.

The Motion was seconded and discussed.

The Motion passed unanimously 11/0

**Shared Governance issues and Student Congress**

Student Congress reported shared-governance issues with the College with respect to distribution of Student Activity funds collected from students. Monies collected as “Student Activity Fees” are distributed to Student Government, Board of Student Activities (BOSA), and Board of Student Publications (BOSP). Student Congress receives only a small portion of the monies collected, and requested minutes from BOSA and BOSP meetings to determine how the money is spent. On other community college campuses Student Congress receives the full amount of monies collected from students for student activities.
Student Congress representatives do not have much direct control of the portion of money they
do receive, and do not know where all of the monies collected as Student Activity Fees are being
spent. “Study With a Buddy,” for example, was cancelled by the Student Activities Faculty
Coordinator citing lack of participation, after 197 students attended the last session. The
Coordinator and set a standard of 300 student participants. Student Congress representatives
argued that the end-of-semester event should be continued because it is the only academically-
related event, and it did benefit the nearly 200 students that participated.

Student Congress claims to receive only 15% of the Student Activity fees collected, and
requested the support of Faculty Senate to investigate the matter on their behalf to gain a larger
percentage of the fees. They cited the good work they were doing on behalf of the campus, i.e
Cybernesia (which has recently been closed), and WiFi in all buildings.

Senators Pang and Overton suggested that Charters and/or official College documentation
describing and defining how the fees are allotted should be researched and consulted to
determine the official College policy.

**Motion to Support Student Congress**
Motion proposed, discussed, seconded:
That Faculty Senate will request clarification from the Chancellor and Administration, on behalf
of the Student Congress, and in the spirit of Shared Governance, to determine how Student
Activity fees collected from students at registration are distributed among the three student
activities entities, Student Congress, BOSA, BOSP, and to request that a greater share of the
proceeds be allocated directly to Student Congress.

**Motion passed unanimously 9/0**

Interested Faculty Senate representatives were invited to attend the next Student Congress
meeting, April 17th, at 12pm, in Ilima 202A

Respectfully submitted,

Carol Beresiwsky
Secretary